UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,652	09/29/2005	Patrick Alexandre	125319	5677
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 02/14/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	MACNEILL, ELIZABETH		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/551,652	ALEXANDRE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ja</u>	nuary 2008.						
<i>i</i>	/ 						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
_ \ <u>_</u> \							
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

Application/Control Number: 10/551,652 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 15 January 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landau et al (US 2002/0188250) in view of Castellano et al (US 5,730,723) and Schwebel et al (US 3,802,430).

Landau teaches a needleless injector with a body (12), an initiation device (34), a compressed gas source (24), a reservoir (14), a housing (24), wherein the gas source is disposed in a cartridge (24) in the housing which may be inserted into the body in an assembled state independently of the other elements in the circuit (Fig 3). The body further comprises an opening (38) which the cartridge closes off. Landau does not teach the use of a pyrotechnic charge.

Art Unit: 3767

Castellano teaches that gas powered injectors are equivalents of pyrotechnic charges (Col 4 lines 25-28) but does not discuss the particulars of a pyrotechnic charge. Schwebel teaches a disposable pyrotechnic injector with a charge (25), a frangible seal (between 25 and 43), a primer (28) and a percussion device (27), and expansion chamber (43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the replaceable cartridge design of Landau with the pyrotechnic charge of Schwebel since it is well known in the art, as taught by Castellano, that gas powered injectors and pyrotechnic injectors are functional equivalents and may be predictably substituted for one another.

2. Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landau as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Bellhouse et al (US 6,328,714).

Landau does not teach the U-shaped circuit or L-shaped cartridge and housing.

Bellhouse teaches a needleless injector with a body (2), an initiation device (32), a compressed gas source (22), a reservoir (24), a housing (4), wherein the gas source is disposed in a cartridge (20) which maybe inserted into the housing in an assembled state independently of the other elements in the circuit (Fig 7). The body further comprises an opening (56) which the cartridge closes off. Figs 1,3, and 7. The initiation device is a percussive device (32). The circuit forms a U-shape, with the cartridge forming an L-shape (Fig 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use to U-shape and L-shape of Bellhouse with the needleless injection system of Landau as an alternative arrangement for the replaceable pieces which control the pressure of the gas generated.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL whose telephone number is (571)272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,652 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM
/Kevin C. Sirmons/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767